UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/524,547	10/26/2005	Harvey Kaplan	1658-8/AMK	1415
Adrian M Kapla	7590 03/05/201 an	EXAMINER		
Dimock Stratto	n	AUDET, MAURY A		
20 Queen Street West Suite 3202 PO Box 102 Toronto Ontario M5H 3R3,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1654	
CANADA				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/05/2010	PAPER

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Nation of Aboundary	10/524,547	KAPLAN ET AL.
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit
	MAURY AUDET	1654
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		
This application is abandoned in view of:		
<ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office         <ul> <li>(a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of N period for reply (including a total extension of time of</li> <li>(b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	Mailing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on _	
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 to 25 cm.	n consists only of: (1) a timely filed a d Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee);	mendment which places the
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See		empt at a proper reply, to the non-
(d) ⊠ No reply has been received.		
<ul> <li>2.  Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8)</li> <li>(a)  The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was</li></ul>	85). s received on (with a Certific	cate of Mailing or Transmission dated
Allowance (PTOL-85).		
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance		7 OFD 4 40(4) 1- 6
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$		CFR 1.18(a), is \$
(c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	ot been received.	
<ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requallowability (PTO-37).</li> </ol>	•	
<ul><li>(a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on</li><li>after the expiration of the period for reply.</li></ul>	_ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Tra	nsmission dated), which is
(b) $\square$ No corrected drawings have been received.		
<ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants.</li> </ol>	e attorney or agent of record, the as	signee of the entire interest, or all of
<ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed by ar 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.</li> </ol>	n attorney or agent (acting in a repre	sentative capacity under 37 CFR
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfer of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clair		se the period for seeking court review
7. ☑ The reason(s) below:		
See Continuation Sheet		
	/Maury Audet/ Primary Examiner, Art Un	it 1654
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra	aw the holding of abandonment under 37	CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)

Notice of Abandonment

## Item 7 - Other reasons for holding abandonment:

A telephone call was made by the Examiner on 3/1/10, seeking to confirm the application has been abandonment. The call was made to the number on file for the Attorney of Record, Adrian Kaplan, with the Toronto Law Firm Dimock Stratton LLP. The representative at the Firm indicated Mr. Kaplan is no longer with the Firm. The Application was still with the Firm, however. The Firm indicated they would let Mr. Kaplan know that an Examiner follow-up had been made in the application.

In the present application: The statutory 6 month period ended on 6/8/09, after the final action of 1/7/09. The After Final response was filed within two months (3/6/09) of the final action. [The Advisory Action was mailed after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period.] However, Applicant did not file a Notice of Appeal within the required 6 months from the date of the final action. The application thereafter, went abandoned.

## As stated in the Final Rejection Form Paragraph:

1. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

### 2. MPEP 1204-TIMELINE FOR APPEAL

The notice of appeal must be filed within the period for reply set in the last Office action, which is normally 3 months for applications. See MPEP § 714.13. \*>For example, failure< to remove all grounds of rejection and otherwise place an application in condition for allowance or to file an appeal after final rejection will result in the application becoming abandoned, even if one or more claims have been allowed, except where claims suggested for interference have been copied. The notice of appeal and appropriate fee may be filed up to 6 months from the date of the \*\*>Office action (e.g., a final rejection) from which the appeal was taken<, so long as an appropriate petition and fee for an extension of time >under 37 CFR 1.136(a)< is filed either prior to or with the notice of appeal.

### 37 CFR 1.137 Revival

- § 1.137 Revival of abandoned application, terminated or limited reexamination prosecution, or lapsed patent.
- (a) Unavoidable. If the delay in reply by applicant or patent owner was unavoidable, a petition may be filed pursuant to this paragraph to revive an abandoned application, a reexamination prosecution terminated under §\$ 1.550(d) or 1.957(b) or limited under § 1.957(c), or a lapsed patent. A grantable petition pursuant to this paragraph must be accompanied by:
- (1) The reply required to the outstanding Office action or notice, unless previously filed;
- (2) The petition fee as set forth in § 1.17(I);
- (3) A showing to the satisfaction of the Director that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to this paragraph was unavoidable; and
- (4) Any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in § 1.20(d)) required pursuant to paragraph (d) of this section.
- (b) Unintentional . If the delay in reply by applicant or patent owner was unintentional, a petition may be filed pursuant to this paragraph to revive an abandoned application, a reexamination prosecution terminated under §§ 1.550(d) or 1.957(b) or limited under § 1.957(c), or a lapsed patent. A grantable petition pursuant to this paragraph must be accompanied by:
- (1) The reply required to the outstanding Office action or notice, unless previously filed;
- (2) The petition fee as set forth in § 1.17(m);
- (3) A statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to this paragraph was unintentional. The Director may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional; and
- (4) Any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in  $\S$  1.20(d)) required pursuant to paragraph (d) of this section.